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## Introduction

- Studies suggest that females [1,2] and younger individuals [3] may have stronger visual imagery strength than others
- Two types of visual imagery extremes exist:
- Aphantasia [4]: no or very low imagery
- Hyperphantasia [2]: extremely vivid imagery
- Prevalence reports:
- Aphantasia = 2.6\% [2], 3.6-4.2\% [5]
- Hyperphantasia = 13.8\% [2]
- Aphantasics show reduced imagery in other senses (i.e taste) [6]
- Research Gap: Lack of cross-cultural data about visual imagery
- Present Study: Investigates visual imagery strength and prevalence of Aphantasia and Hyperphantasia using a multi-cultural sample: Arabian Gulf (AG), Middle East and North Africa (MENA), South East Asia (SEA), South Asia and WEIRD (western, educated, industrialized, rich, democratic [7])


## Methods

- Recruitment: Online survey (Qualtrics) using Facebook/Instagram
- Participants: All citizens \& residents (ages 18-65) of Qatar
- Questionnaires: VVIQ [8] \& Psi-Q [9]
- Demographics: Gender, age, education, occupation \& nationality


## Example: Vividness of Visual Imagery Questionnaire (VVIQ)

Visualise a rising sun. Consider carefully the picture that comes before your mind's eye. (Rate 1=no image; 5=highly vivid, life-like)

The sun rising above the horizon into a hazy sky The sky clears and surrounds the sun with blueness Clouds. A storm blows up with flashes of lightning A rainbow appears


Example: Plymouth Sensory Imagery Questionnaire (Psi-Q) Imagine the smell of ( $0=$ no image; $10=$ highly vivid, life-like): a stuffy room a rose fresh paint newly cut grass burning wood

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllllll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10
\end{array}
$$

## Summary and Discussion

- In contrast to recent reports [1,2,3], imagery strength was similar for different genders and age groups
- Similar prevalence rates of Aphantasia [5] and Hyperphantasia [2] compared to previous reports
- MENA and AG participants reported the lower imagery scores across all sensory modalities
- SEA and WEIRD participants report highest visual imagery
- SEA highest imagery scores across all sensory modalities
- Confirms low visual imagery correlates with lower sensory perception in all modalities [6]
- Why cultural differences for imagery?
- Research shows an influence of culture on perception [11]
- Imaging studies show overlap between perception \& imagery [12]
- Perhaps environment (i.e. education) also influences imagery
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## Results

Participants: N=636 (73.3\% female) : WVIQ: M=58.89,SD=13.89 Imagery extremes:

- Aphantasia prevalence (VVIQ=16-32) was $5.0 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=32$ ); of which $1.4 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=9$ ) indicated complete Aphantasia ( $\mathrm{VIQ}=16$ )
- Hyperphantasia prevalence (VIQ=75-80) was $12.1 \%$ ( $n=77$ ); of which 2.8\% ( $\mathrm{n}=18$ ) indicated maximum V IQ=80
- There were no imagery differences for gender or age (Figures 1a, 1b)


Figure 1a:
VVIQ scores across gender


Figure 1b:
WIQ scores across age groups

## VVIQ Results Across Cultures (Figure 2):

Participants ( $\mathrm{n}=557$ ) were divided into cultural groups $[7,10]$
Group ( n ) VVIQ MEAN (SD)
MENA ( $n=86$ ) 55.11 (14.82)*
South Asia ( $\mathrm{n}=180$ ) 57.56 (13.98)
$A G(n=43) \quad 58.69$ (15.34)
SEA ( $n=121$ ) 61.19 (13.17)*
WEIRD ( $n=89$ ) 62.35 (12.61)**
*MENA participants had significantly lower VVIQ scores than SEA ( $\mathrm{p}<.05$ ) and WEIRD ( $\mathrm{p}<.01$ ) participants (Figure 2)


Figure 2: Cultural Groups \& VVIQ Differences

## PSiQ Results \& VVIQ Correlations Across Cultures:

- $\mathrm{n}=519$; MENA and AG: significantly lower PsiQ scores across all sensory modalities compared to SEA, who reported highest imagery across all senses ( $p<.05^{*} ; \mathrm{p}<.001^{* *}$ ) (Table 1)
- Higher VVIQ scores correlated with higher imagery scores in all senses (p's <.01**) (far right column, Table 1)

| Psi-Q | Highest M (SD) | Lowest M (SD) | VVIQ (r) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vision | SEA: 8.85* (1.61) | MENA: 7.90* (1.80) | $.71^{* *}$ |
| Sound | SEA: 8.81* (1.59) | MENA: 7.72* (2.35) | $.64^{* *}$ |
| Smell | SEA: 8.01** (2.11) | AG: 6.14** (2.80) | $.55^{* *}$ |
| Taste | SEA: 8.29** (1.88) | AG: 6.52** (2.52) | $.51^{* *}$ |
| Touch | SEA: 8.77* (1.77) | MENA: 7.80* (2.18) | $.61^{* *}$ |
| Body | SEA: 8.47** (1.85) | MENA: 7.36** (1.91) | $.60^{* *}$ |
| Feel | SEA: 8.25* (2.10) | AG: 7.00* (2.09) | $.53^{* *}$ |

Table 1: PsiQ Scores \& PsiQ pearson correlations with VVIQ scores

